

Seed Phytosanitary Issues and Industry Growth

Tom Moore HM Clause APSA Phytosanitary Workshop Bangkok, Thailand August 14, 2015

About ASTA

- Founded in 1883, ASTA is one of the most established trade associations in the U.S.
- Comprised of more than 700 member companies
- Represents all sectors of the seed industry
 - Integrated seed companies
 - Seed distributors
 - Licensing companies (genetics)
 - Seed treatments
 - Machinery
 - Testing
 - Universities





Global Seed Industry

• The commercial world seed market is valued at approximately USD 43 billion (ISF, 2011)

Estimated Value of the Top 4 Domestic Seed Markets – USD Millions (2011)



U.S. Seed Industry

- The United States is the largest market for seeds in the world with a value of USD 12 billion
- Contributed approximately 26.2% to the global commercial seed sales in 2011
- The U.S. market grew 7.7% 2005-2011
- U.S. + E.U. seed industries = ½ of world industry

Trends in the Seed Industry: Past & Present

Past: Focus of breeding was on improvements related to yield and crop inputs

- Yield and agronomic traits
- More efficient and improved uses of chemical inputs
- More efficient farming practices

Present: Innovation focused on genetics and increasing the value of the seed

- Better understanding of plant genomes
- Marker assisted selection
- New focus on end-use quality
- Seed as the delivery system for genetics, traits that increase production efficiency (nitrogen fixation, drought tolerance, etc.), and seed treatments



Trends in the Seed Industry: Seed as the Delivery System

• 1996—Value of the seed primarily from germplasm



• 2013—Value of seed from germplasm, improved agronomic and physiological traits, seed treatments





Global Movement of Seed

- Increasing importance of the movement of seed:
 - A global industry
 - **Re-export** of seed a common practice
 - Movement of seed is complex
- Movement of research/breeding seed: huge challenges (seed testing, phyto certification)
- Parental seed is high value
 - Volume of trade may be limited
 - Many lots of pre-commercial seed needed for increase
- A single seed company could be moving thousands of different – and distinct – seed varieties at one time to many different countries.



Why Phytosanitary Security?

- Most countries have regulations to protect against entry of unwanted pests, pathogens, and weeds
 - Protect their food supply
 - Prevent their Ag to exposure to pathogens and pests
 - Eliminate them when and where they pose a risk
- Under the IPPC, countries are only authorized to establish phytosanitary measures for regulated pests (quarantine, regulated non quarantine)
- Many of the regulations are all-encompassing
 - i.e. written for plant, fruit, plant parts which include seed



Why Phytosanitary Security for Seeds?

- Seeds, like any other raw agricultural commodity, can be a pathway for introducing unwanted pests into new environments-according to IPPC can be regulated;
 - Weed seed contaminants
 - Insect pests (mainly in storage situations)
 - Soil/nematodes
 - Plant pathogens
- Many companies serve global markets; seeds are frequently moved internationally many times during the life cycle and pests that are associated with the seed move too, without precautions
- Pathogens and other pests can significantly reduce seed quality in all stages of the life cycle

What are the issues with Phytosanitary Security for Seeds?

- Many countries list pests that are of concern to a crop but seed is not a pathway
 - Some are not even a pest of the host
 - Recent efforts on pest listing (ISF, ASTA) are demonstrating that 60-80% of pathogens regulated on seed are not even associated with seed!
- Many countries list pests of concern and expect exporting countries to prove that seed is not a risk
- Many countries lack centralized information and resources
- Many countries change regulations with governme as changes (elections or regimes)

Seed Industry Planning Advanced Preparation is Key!

Export Planning

- What Countries do we Sell to?
- What is the Route of Export (Re-Export)?
- Country of Origin Restrictions
- Knowledge of Pests/Pathogens in Production Area
- Country Import Requirements
 - Country Website (Brazil, Mexico)
 - PEXD and PCIT
 - State and County Agencies
 - Import Permits
 - Prior Experience



Phytosanitary Measures for Seeds

- Phytosanitary field inspections
 - Bread and butter of seed phytosanitary certification
 - APHIS oversight
 - State agencies/CA County Commissioners
 - Accredited companies (NSHS)
- Seed testing for phytosanitary pests
 - NSHS accreditation
 - Backup to inspections
- Seed phytosanitary treatments
 - New products and enhancements
 - Need for NPPO and RPPO standards?
- Seed grown in pest free areas
 - ID bean seed program
 - Need information over years to obtain?



Common Problems Encountered by Seed Companies



Misinterpretation on Country Requirement

- Different countries often have different phytosanitary entry requirements for the same pests for the same seeds
 - Stewart's wilt/corn
 - Field inspection vs. seed test
 - Seed Testing Differences Tomato PSTVd
 - All over the place—hard to manage!
- Most NPPOs usually inspect and sometimes resample and test incoming seed consignments
 - Conflicting result needs sorting out (negotiation)
 - Mexico pepper mild mottle virus (PMMoV)
 - Kenya beans/bacterial wilt



Complications with Field Inspections

- Pest/Pathogen not on normal list for field inspections in country of production (origin)
- NPPO frequently will not inspect for pests not on the list
- Countries may charge for extra inspections
- Lack of descriptions of the pathogens on species,
 - Acidovorax sp. on eggplant
 - Clavibacter michiganense pv michiganense (tomatopathogen) on sunflower

Complications with New Phytosanitary Requirements

- Often can't be met if the seed is harvested after the new requirement goes into force (mainly a counter season production issue):
 - Striga/Argentina: went from a recognition of pest free areas to a requirement for freedom from Striga based on field inspections
 - PSTVd/Chile: went from a field inspection to a field inspection PLUS testing of mother plants or seed test



PRA Complications

- Happens when a country decides to re-do all its PRAs at one time; impose unrealistic timelines on exporting NPPOs to get all the PRAs completed; no process for timely review of PRA submittals by the country; causes trade disruptions and high levels of uncertainty.
 - Even though there have been no interceptions
 - E.g. Vietnam, Brazil, Chile, Thailand
- Countries could start with highest risk species based on history of interceptions



Complications with Seed Health Test

- Lack of 'official' seed testing methods for many phytosanitary pests of concern
 - Lack validation
 - Pathogen not seed borne
- Several different test methods often exist for the same pathogen, and test results often vary
 - Bean testing for seed exported to Kenya-many U.S companies abandoned that market due to frequent rejections
- Sampling protocols often require too many seeds!
 - Australia requirement for testing tomato seed for PSTVd
- Technically unjustified import requirements
 - Brazil requirement for Broad Bean Wilt Virus on carrot seed

Re-Export: A Mainstay for the Seed Industry



Global Seed Flows



HM.CLAUSE Tomato Example (1)



Seed Industry Preparation

HM.CLAUSE



Challenge Example: Melons



Disease Codes

BFB: Acidovorax citrulliGSB: Didymella bryoniaeC. lag: Colletrotrichum lagenariumM. phas: Macrophomina phaseolina

CGMMV: Cucumber Green Mottle Mosaic Virus MNSV: Melon Necrotic Spot Virus MRMV: Melon Rugose Virus SqMV: Squash Mosaic Virus



Resources Available to the Seed Industry

- In the U.S., the seed Industry is respected in APHIS PPQ and THEY listen to the ASTA representatives
- ASTA has international network (USDA-FAS, ISF, RSAs, NSAs, state Seed Associations) to help resolve issues worldwide
- ASTA has established VTSC-seed industry experts that can quickly organize to find scientific data to help resolve issues
- California Seed Association (CSA) has established relations with key CDFA staff
- CSA Collaborates with ASTA to resolve issues
- APHIS monthly calls to discuss phytosanitary issues

In an Ideal World Phytosanitary Cert.

- Transparent and understandable
- Based on good science and not politics
- Be standard throughout the world
- Centralized information
- Multiple measures to ensure security
- Seed would have to be shown to be a pathway



To Meet the Needs of World Food Production the Seed Industry needs:

- Harmonized phytosanitary import requirements
- Harmonized seed testing methods/protocols
- Seed Treatments that ensure phytosanitary security
- Standard language used in additional declarations of certificates
- Harmonized approach for seed PRAs
 - Demonstration that seed truly is a pathway!
- International Seed Standard is the goage a

HM.CLAUSE Case Studies

- <u>Imports</u> Containers of Spinach Seed from Denmark stopped at USA boarder
 - APHIS & Customs and Boarder Protection (CBP) identified Phomopsis sp. on the seed.
 - Phomopsis sp. was considered an "Exotic" pest in the USA
 - The immediate solution was to destroy seed or re-export
 - Now compulsory visual inspection



HM.CLAUSE Phomopsis on Spinach Seed

- Visual inspections by NAKT prior to shipment
 Still a risk of rejection at the border
- Inspections seem to effective, no/fewer rejected lots now



first-the seed

Seed Testing Discrepancy Trade Disruptions: Pepper Mild Mottle Virus (PMMOV)

- Pepper seed is produced in China; brought to the U.S. for further testing, processing, packaging, and then re-exported.
- PMMoV is a QM pest to the industry; all efforts are made to keep it out of the seed pathway
- Seed is first tested (ELISA); if negative, it is brought into seed processing facility, often re-tested (ELISA, PCR), packaged and re-exported



Seed Testing Discrepancy Trade Disruptions: *Pepper Mild Mottle Virus (PMMOV)*

- Seed is often re-tested at POE of country of importation. If positive, the shipment is rejected.
- **ALTERNATE SCENARIO:** seed produced in China tests positive (ELISA, PCR) and is then treated (e.g. TSP).
- This seed is then re-tested with a biological method (bioassay) to confirm 100% efficacy of the seed treatment.
- A phytosanitary certificate is issued on the basis of the bioassay. If re-tested at a POE using a molecular method (ELISA, PCR, etc.) it will test positive and the shipment will be rejected (molecular methods detect proteins whether or not they have been inactivated)

Opportunities in the Seed Industry: *Possible Solutions to Seed Testing*

- NPPOs and Industry use same method(s) for a given pathogen (harmonization):
 - NAPPO list of official seed test methods/protocols
 - Tests jointly evaluated/certified by NPPO, university, and industry seed testing experts
 - NAPPO commitment on PMMoV
- Develop a protocol for joint recognition of bioassay test results without need for 100% re-testing
- Encourage development of simpler, more reliable (possibly nondestructive) seed testing methods



Phytosanitary Into the Future...Finding Common Ground

- NAPPO phytosanitary workshop July 28-29, 2015
 - Agreement to move forward with harmonization of seed test methods for PMMoV
 - Discussed pest listing efforts and need to standardize approaches and share information
 - Need to explore broader uses of accreditation for risk management



Probabilistic Risk-Based Model: Assessment of Phytosanitary Risk Reduction Associated with Seed Quality Management Practices



Weiqi Luo, Ph.D. and Dan Anco, Ph.D.

Visiting Scientist North Carolina State University Alissa B. Kriss, Ph.D. R&D Scientist/Statistician Syngenta NC



Probabilistic Risk-Based Model to Assess Seed *Phytosanitary Risk Reduction* Motivation

• Consumers expect healthy, disease-free seeds.

production

Variety

Initial breeding material

- Identify and optimize phytosanitary issues: Costly and damaging to the entire seed industry when are not timely identified.
- Aid in the development of <u>International phytosanitary standards</u> to support a more predictable trade environment.

Expected Outcomes

- Method to quantitatively assess how steps in production practices reduce phytosanitary risks.
- General framework that can be applied to any seed production system (pathosystem).
- Framework on which to develop/justify international phytosanitary standards, possibly revise PRA approaches for seed, and eventually support the development of a new accreditation category for seed companies.



Proposed Risk model Pathosystems - Tomato 1.Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. michiganensis

Very complex system:

- Multiple tomato production methods.
- Cmm can survive for long periods under broad conditions.
- Tomato infected with Cmm may remain asymptomatic for some time.



Bacterial canker symptoms on fruit



- Survival possible in soil, plant debris, weed hosts, volunteer plants, and seed.
- Dispersal through wind and water.

2. Potato spindle tuber viroid (PSTVd) – On Tomato!

- Mechanical transmission
- Frequency of seed transmission appears uncertain at this time.





Data Sources

- Data mining of Published Literature
 - Much is available
- Acquire data directly from seed production companies
 - Some production methods may be specific to individual company
 - Need data resulting from specific method application
- Where no data is available:
 - Define precise missing data
 - Design and conduct experiments to fill data gap
 - Analyze data and use to populate model



Risk assessment of seed production: *From breeding to sale*

Goal: Quantify risk reduction associated with company QM practices at each stage of seed production



Tim R. Gottwald, Ph.D. Research Leader/Plant Pathology U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service <u>Tim.Gottwald@ARS.USDA.GOV</u> ASTA contact: Ric Dunkle, Ph.D rdunkle@amseed.org



Conclusions

IMPORT

RE-EXPOR

EXPORT

- Seed exports and imports are continuing to increase. In addition, seeds are becoming more valuable and costly to produce.
- Seed movements through multiple countries (re-export) is a business practice that potentially exposes seed to new phytosanitary risks

It is in the best interest of the seed industry to invest in practices and technologies that satisfy quality as well as regulatory concerns.

Conclusions

- Limitations in personnel, resources, and overall capacity provide opportunities to explore new approaches to phytosanitary security
- The TASC/Gottwald project is the first attempt to quantify inherent phytosanitary risk reduction associated with seed QM practices.
- A new category of accreditation that recognizes the phytosanitary protection associated with seed QM practices could be a possible new outcome.

